



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,321	06/09/2006	Ingwer Carlsen	2003P00916WOUS	5336
24737	7590	07/27/2011	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ZARKA, DAVID PETER	
P.O. BOX 3001				
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2011	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com  
debbie.henn@philips.com  
marianne.fox@philips.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/596,321	CARLSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DAVID ZARKA	2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (1) DAVID ZARKA (primary examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) MICHAEL MARCIN (Reg. No. 48,198). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2011

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, first paragraph; 102(b); 103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*1,7-10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0048955 ("Pardas"); U.S. Pat. No. 5,982,909 ("Erdem")*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DAVID ZARKA/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed amending "first image " to "floating image", and "second image" to "reference image" to remove the 112, first paragraph rejection. Examiner understands figs. 3-6 is one image from either the reference or floating image. The language "first" and "second" image is broad enough such that figs. 3-6 are separate images. Examiner also indicated that in light of the above mentioned claim changes, Claim 10 would be indicated allowable subject matter strictly with respect to both Pardas and Erdem..